

REMARKS/AMENDMENTS

In this response, the applicant has amended claim 21 to more particularly point out the invention sought. No new matter not inferable from the original specification and drawings has been added.

Claim Rejections—35 USC §102


The Examiner has rejected claim 21 as being anticipated by U.S. Application No. US2004/0262474 to Boks et al. Reconsideration thereof is requested in light of the following.

Amended claim 21 includes the limitation that when the engagement means is unsuitable for supporting the monitor on either of the two support members, the engagement means is removed from the monitor, and a mounting bracket is screwed to the rear of the monitor, where the mounting bracket is compatible with at least one of the support members. This feature is not taught in Boks et al., which reference does not teach removing an engagement means. In fact, there is a teaching away at paragraph 48 of Boks et al.:

After mounting plate 26 is screwed onto the back of the display 20, the mounting plate 26 is typically never removed therefrom.

In contrast, the instant invention recognizes that when an engagement means is incompatible, it can be removed and replaced with a compatible mounting bracket. Therefore, favorable consideration of claim 21 is requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Jerry Moscovitch